



## ATTENDEES

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organization</u>
Ralph Jacob	University of Cincinnati
Tim Reynolds	SORTA
Timothy P. Gildeg	Hamilton County Engineer
Pally Willits	Cincinnati Assoc. for the Blind & Visually Impaired
Frank Russell	University of Cincinnati
Reggie Victor	City of Cincinnati
Adam Kirwen	University of Cincinnati
Geoffrey Conklin	University of Cincinnati
Joshua Gilbert	University of Cincinnati
Beth Robinson	Uptown Consortium
John Schneider	Alliance for Regional Transit
Cathy Cain	EPA
Rev. Sharon Dittmar	First Unitarian Church
Chris Moran	LWW
Dominic Trucco	University of Cincinnati
Brian Sedziol	University of Cincinnati
Kevin Mertens	University of Cincinnati
Fred Orth	Walnut Hills
Charles Alexander	FWAS
Liz Blume	Xavier
Martha Kelly	City of Cincinnati
Rick Williams	Home Ownership Center
Sandra M. James	Corinthian Baptist Church
Freeman McNeal	Mt Auburn Community Council
Gertrud Whitaker	U.S. Rep. Jean Schmidt (OH-02)
Tom Ewing	Cin USA Regional Chamber
Paul K. Glenn	Spirit of Christ Church
Patricia Milton	Avondale Community Council
Sara Murdock	First Unitarian Church
Susan Daniels	TranSystems
David Shipps	TranSystems

## PRESENTATION

- Susan Daniels of TranSystems gave a presentation to the group about the project. She presented the project's purpose, study area, public involvement plan, goals and objectives, potential evaluation criteria, and next steps.



## DISCUSSION

- After the presentation, the attendees discussed issues important to be considered during the project. The following are comments from attendees:
  - Promote community quality of life (residential quality)
    - Better connections, access to bikeways, redevelopment opportunities
  - Improve public space and community space
    - Not creating a thruway to get to the hospitals and UC
  - Support multimodal opportunities
  - Need a better understanding of the social issues to the neighborhoods from this project and alternatives.
  - Economic development for community versus institutions
  - Local business district development good for community as a whole
  - Provision of green space
  - Support local initiatives
  - Weighing neighborhood versus institutional objectives/intentions
  - Dealing with traffic flow on corridors (traffic pattern changes)
  - How is the project successful? What makes the project successful?
    - More residents/more workers in Uptown
    - Greater sense of place (for surrounding neighborhoods)
    - Would help all business districts in close proximity (economic development opportunities)
    - Speak of the project with pride and not disdain
  - Access is critical for everyone (both institutions and communities)
  - It's about the people who live here just as much as the people who work here



ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES JANUARY 19, 2010

- Zoning changes, business incentives, other items that would be done in the construction phase to meet the economic goals of the surrounding area (before the infrastructure is done)
- Make sure the light rail component tie into the highway/interchanges (similar to I-75)
- The following items were summarized on a board at the meeting as important issues after discussion with attendees:
  - Quality of life issues
  - Promote better connections
  - Promote access to bikeways
  - Redevelopment opportunities
  - Improve public/community space
  - Support/promote multimodal
  - Social impacts versus benefits
  - Weigh community versus institutional objectives
  - Support local initiatives
  - Properly deal with traffic pattern changes

#### **ADDITIONAL ITEMS**

- The study team will put the overall schedule on the website (not only this project's steps but also big picture steps 1-12)
- The study team will review the prior purpose and need and make that available for everyone in an email to Advisory Committee members